## REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed 05/29/2008. Reconsideration in light of the amendments and remarks made herein is respectfully requested.

## Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejects claims 1 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Voit et al. (U.S.6,870,827) in view of Farris et al. (U.S.6,574,216).

Applicant has amended the claims to include elements that the Examiner indicated are allowable as discussed below.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voit et al. in view of Farris et al.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Applicant note with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter. The Examiner objects to claims 2 and 13 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 12 to include all of the limitations of dependent claims 2 and 13 and cancelled claims 2 and 13. Applicant respectfully requests that independent claims 1 and 12 be allowed.
- Applicant notes with appreciation the Examiner's allowance of claims 3-11, 14-25.

Appl. No. 10/810,467 Amdt, dated 08/28/2008

Reply to Office action of 05/29/2008

## Conclusion

Applicant reserves all rights with respect to the applicability of the doctrine of equivalents. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 08/28/2008 By /James Henry/ James Henry Reg. No. 41,064

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)